

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/741,620	12/19/2000	R. Alan Neely	4156-006 5816			
4678 MACCORD M	7590 12/03/200 ASON PLLC	EXAMINER				
300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600 P. O. BOX 2974 GREENSBORO, NC 27402			HAMILTON	HAMILTON, LALITA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-,		3691			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
09/741,620	NEELY ET AL.	NEELY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_		
Lalita M. Hamilton	3691			

		, C c	
	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
	had main as the state of filling a ball		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the street of the first the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:         Claim(s) allowed:         Claim(s) objected to:         Claim(s) rejected:         Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:        </li> </ol>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	LAL	ITA M HAARII	
	PRI	ITA M. HAMILTON MARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Zervides does not expressly teach that the electronic invoice is "only stored on a server by or on behalf of said invoicer from customer billing data" or "stored on a server and only extracted by or on behalf of said invoicer"...meaning that only the invoicer or an entity acting on his/her behalf can store the electronic invoice data on the server. In response, Zervides was incorproated as a teaching of a payment engine for electronically transmitting invoice payment instructions from the customer to each invoicer. The payment engine (EICS) electronically transmits the invoice payment instructions from the customer. The Examiner found it to have been obvious to one combine the teachings of Zervides within Virgin in order to have a payment engine for electronically transmitting invoice payment instructions from the customer to each invoice to allow the customer alternative means of making payments.

LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINER